

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/664,039	039 09/17/2003		John W. Stockstill	MCOG-0002-1	2608	
22506	7590	03/15/2006		EXAMINER		
JAGTIAN 10363-A D			WILSON, JOHN J			
FAIRFAX, VA 22030			•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3732	3732	
				DATE MAIL ED: 02/15/2004	DATE MAILED: 03/15/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/664,039	STOCKSTILL, JOHN W.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John J. Wilson	3732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 S</u>	eptember 2003.					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 17 September 2003 is/s  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/17/03, 8/4/05.  U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)  Office Action (PTO-892)	6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Oπice A(	ction Summary Pa	ort of Paper No./Mail Date 03112006				

Application/Control Number: 10/664,039

Art Unit: 3732

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Asum (5836810).

Asum shows an interproximal strip 22, Fig. 7, having a smooth central zone 25 and two abrasive zones 24.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asum (5836810) in view of Blank (6386873). Asum shows the structure as described above, however, does not show specifically state that the first abrasive zone is on a single side. Blank teaches that it is known to use abrasive on only one side, column 4, lines 2-14. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Asum to include abrasive on a single side as taught by Blank in order to deliver the abrasive action to the desired location.

Claim 3-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asum (5836810) in view of Blank (6386873) as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Kyotani (4690642). Asum teaches different degrees of abrasive at column 3, lines 60-64, however, does not show using abrasives of different degrees on different sides. Blank further teaches using both sides, column 4, lines 2-14, and Kyotani teaches using different degrees of abrasive on one side, Fig. 4, or on different sides, Fig. 5. It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Asum to include using abrasive on more than one side as shown by Blank, and further, to use different degrees of abrasive on different sides as shown by Kyotani in order to apply the desired degree of abrasive to the desired locations. The specific number of different degrees and their locations are obvious matters of choice in the degree and location of known structures to one of ordinary skill in the art. As to claims 10-16, the method steps are held to be obvious in view of the shown structure.

## **Drawings**

The drawings filed September 17, 2003 have been found to be acceptable by the examiner.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Glover (959,054) shows abrasive zones.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,039 Page 4

Art Unit: 3732

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John J. Wilson whose telephone number is 571-272-4722). The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver, can be reached at 571-272-4720). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John J. Wilson Primary Examiner Art Unit 3732

jjw March 10, 2006